

# *Algebraic Data Types*

Luca Abeni

`luca.abeni@santannapisa.it`

# Data Types

- Data types can be used to impose constraints on acceptable expressions
  - Expressions that do not type-check are invalid!
- To do this, we need (at least):
  - A set of *primitive* (pre-defined) types
  - Some way to create new types
  - Some rules to perform type-checking
- Informally speaking, a **type system**

# Issues with Types

- Some type systems risk to compromise the Turing-completeness of the language
  - Think about typed lambda calculus...
- In particular, it is important to have appropriate rules for defining new types
  - Again: “function types” are probably not enough
  - Expressions resulting in infinite recursion do not type check!
- We previously said we need “recursive types”, but...
  - What is a *recursive type*?
  - What is it useful for?
  - How can we use it?

# More on Data Types

- Every programming language has a set of *primitive types*
  - And many languages allow to define new types
- Simple way to define new types: apply sum or product operations to existing types
  - Product  $\mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$ : type with possible values given by **couples** of values from  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$
  - Sum  $\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2$ : type with possible values given by values from  $\mathcal{T}_1$  **or** values from  $\mathcal{T}_2$
- Sum == **disjoint** union; Product == cartesian product
- If  $|\mathcal{T}|$  is the number of values of type  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $|\mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2| = |\mathcal{T}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{T}_2|$  and  $|\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2| = |\mathcal{T}_1| + |\mathcal{T}_2|$

# Algebraic Data Types

- A set (the set of the language's data types), a sum operation and a product operation... It's an algebra!
  - Algebra of the data types; types are called Algebraic Data Types!
- Issue: the sum is a **disjoint union**...
  - Easy to do “float + bool” (type with possible values integers or booleans)...
  - ...But what about “int + int” (or similar)?
  - The types have to be tagged somehow...

# Algebraic Data Types and Constructors

- Solution adopted by many programming languages: do not sum types directly, but first apply a *tagging function* to them
  - Constructor: function generating the values of the type to be summed
  - Summing types generated by different constructors, the issue is solved!
- Variant: set of values generated by a constructor
  - Different constructors generate disjoint variants
  - Hence, instead of “int + int” we can use “Left(int) + Right(int)”

# Examples

- C unions are a special case of tagged sum
- “test = i(int) + f(float)” is

```
union example {  
    int i;  
    float f;  
};
```

- Of course, algebraic data types are more generic (0-arguments or multi-argument constructors, etc...)
- All constructors with 0 arguments: enum type
- Haskell, ML and others fully support ADT

```
datatype test = i of int | f of real;
```

```
data Test = I Int | F Float
```

## Example: Option Type

- Type containing a value or nothing
  - Two constructors: “Nothing” (without arguments) and “Just” (with one argument of the desired type)
- Example: integer or nothing → `Option_int = Nothing + Just(int)`
- Idea: instead of using a null pointer...
- ...Use an option type: `Pointer_to_int = Nothing + Just(int *)`
  - Advantage: only the “Just” variant can be dereferenced...
  - NULL pointer dereferences do not even compile!



# Generic Data Types

- The definition of a new type might depend on a “type variable”
  - Parametric type, depending on another type “ $T$ ”, denoted by a variable
  - Type variables, generally indicated as greek letters
- Example: generic option type
  - Not “integer or nothing”, but “type  $\alpha$  or nothing”
  - $\alpha$ : type variable
- In Haskell, something like

```
data Option a = Nothing | Just a
```
- Used for many other things too (lists, **Monads**, ...)