Introduction to Rust

Luca Abeni luca.abeni@santannapisa.it

January 19, 2024

Rust History

- Started in 2006 by a Mozilla developer (Graydon Hoare) as a side project
 - First version of the compiler written in OCaml (functional programming language)
- In 2009, Mozilla realized that Firefox was suffering because of a large amount of segfaults
 - These issues could be addressed by using a "safer" language
 - ...So, Mozilla started sponsoring Rust development
- First self-hosted compiler in 2010/2011
- First release (v1) in 2015
- Continuos community growth

Safe System Programming

Rust Evolution

- Originally sponsored by Mozilla for Firefox, then evolved in a "strange way"...
 - Considered for a long time only as a "system programming language"
 - System programming: not really related to web browsers...
- Today has multiple applications (see https://www.rust-lang.org, "Build it in Rust"):
 - Command Line tools
 - WebAssembly
 - Networking applications
 - Embedded systems

Rust in Action

- Mozilla uses it in its new browser engine (https://servo.org/)
- Microsoft proposed as a proactive way to address security and prevent vulnerabilities:

https://msrc-blog.microsoft.com/2019/07/22/why-rust-for-safe-systems-programming/

- Intel ("Rust is the future of systems programming")
 - Used Rust for its QEMU replacement:

https://github.com/cloud-hypervisor/cloud-hypervisor

• Amazon did something similar:

https://github.com/firecracker-microvm/firecracker

Safe System Programming

- Today, Rust is supported by a large community (not only Mozilla)
 - Various visions of the language and of the "ecosystem"
- Rust as a language: safety, performance, "zero-cost abstractions" (abstractions without overhead), ...
- Rust as an ecosystem:
 - Not only compiler, but also other tools (cargo package manager, ...)
 - Set of "crates" that can be used by rust applications

Rust Programming Language Ideas

```
fn main() {
    println!("Hello,_world!")
}
```

- C-like syntax (see Rust "hello world"...)
 - But support for higher-level abstractions!
- No heavy runtime (no GC, type/memory checks are mostly static, ...)
 - Without loosing safety...
- Try to provide control to user (do not hide memory allocation/deallocation, ...)
 - Only when needed

C: Control to User

```
struct s {
    int v;
    ...
};

p = malloc(sizeof(struct s));
p->v = 5;
...
free(p)
```

- Control on the memory layout of data
 - Even better: "packed" attribute and "int xx_t" types
- Control on the amount of allocated memory
- Control on when memory is allocated/deallocated

Too Much Control?

- Usual issues: things like
 p = malloc(sizeof(int)); ???
 free(p); a = p->v;
- Control on memory (de)allocations risks to allow errors on malloc() and free()
- Control on pointers creates issues with aliasing/leaks
- We know a *possible* solution: RAII

```
struct S {
    v: i32,
    ...
}
fn WorkOnS() {
    let mut p = Box::new(S {v: 5, ...});
    p.v = ...
    /* use p ... */
    ...
}
```

- When p goes out of scope, memory is deallocated!
 - Problem: things like "let mut p1 = p" risk to break the thing!
 - Rust has to somehow make sure that there is only an *active* reference/pointer to the structure

Safe System Programming

Rust Vision of "Control to User"

- In the Rust example, notice:
 - Control on the structure size ("i32")
 - Explicit memory allocation ("Box::new(S v: 5, ...)")
 - No constructors!
 - Control on the variable mutability ("let mut p")
- The type of "p" (pointer to "struct S" Box<S>) is not explicitly specified
 - Type inference!

Rust and Assignments (Move Semantics)

• Here, Rust needs to enforce that there is only one pointer to the allocated structure:

```
struct S {
    v: i32
}
fn work_on_s() {
    let mut p = Box::new(S {v: 5});
```

- Assignments have move semantics: "let p1=p" moves the ownership of the structure from "p" to "p1" ⇒ after this, "p" is invalid
- So, this does not build:

```
let mut p = Box::new(S {v: 5});
let p1 = p;
println!("v:{}", p.v);
```

Move and... Borrow?

- Assignment: move the ownership of a data structure
 - Can a value be "borrowed"?
 - Meaning, "p" owns a data structure; passes it to "p1" and gets it back when "p1" goes out of scope
 - While the value is borrowed, "p" cannot modify it...
- Yes, we can! Use references ("&")

```
let mut p = Box::new(S {v: 5});
{
    let p1 = &p;
    println!("p1.v:{}",p1.v)
}
println!("v:{}", p.v);
```

• "p.v = 666;" in the inner block can fail to build Safe System Programming Introduction to Rust

Borrowing: Rules

- A value owned by a variable can be borrowed as mutable or as immutable
 - Mutable reference ("&mut") or immutable references ("&")
 - Mutable reference: only one; immutable references: can borrow multiple times
- When borrowed, it cannot be modified by the original owner
- rustc sometimes does "smart things" (if a variable is not used after a line of code, it is considered dropped there)
- Borrowing is used also for function parameters (passed by reference)

Rust Syntax: the Basics

- C-Like syntax: program written as a set of functions
 - Special "main" function invoked when the program is executed
- Function: block of code associated to a name (+ environment + parameters + return value)
 - Syntax: "fn name (parameters) -> return type" followed by a block of code
 - Special case: if the return type is "()" (unit type),
 "-> ()" can be avoided
- Block of code: contains variable definitions and expressions
 - As in C, C++, Java, ..., start with "{" and finish with "}"

Safe System Programming

Rust Syntax: Peculiarities

- Difference with C & friends: meaning of ";"
 - No "end of instruction", but separator between expressions
- A block of code is an expression
 - Evaluates to the value of the last expression of the block
 - Special case: if the last expression is "()", it can be removed
 - Example: "{println!("Hi"); ()}" and "{println!("Hi");}" are the same
 - Example: "{5;}" and "{5}" are different (the first evaluates to "()", the second to "5"
- Corollary: no need for a "return" keyword!

Safe System Programming

So... Hello!!!

- Let's start with a "hello world" program...
 - "main" function taking no arguments and returning no value
 - "returning no value" means "returning a value of unit type"
 - Unit type: type having only one value: "()"
 - Remember: "-> ()" can be avoided
- To print values on stdout, use the "println!()" macro

```
fn main() {
    println!("Hello,_world!")
}
```

• Notice: no "; " at the end... Why?

Safe System Programming

Slightly More Interesting Example

- Notice how "mult2" returns its result
- To print the content of a variable, use "{}" in the format string
 - As convenient as C's printf()...
 - ...But safer! The compiler can actually check the type of each printed variable

Safe System Programming

- Set of predefined types
 - The usual scalar types (will see in next slides)
- Set of mechanisms for building new types (based on existing ones)
 - Based on algebraic data types
 - Product types (structures and tupes) and sum types (enums)
- Set of rules for working with types
 - Rust is statically typed
 - Types of variables known at build time
 - Strict compatibility rules
 - Type inference by default

Safe System Programming

Type Inference

- The compiler tries to *infer* the type of variables
 - No need to always specify variable types...
 - ...But, sometimes, the compiler might use some help!
- Example: this fails to build:

let s = "123".to_string();
let n = s.parse().unwrap();

- "parse()" returns a type encapsulating the result...
 - But, which type is the result? (integer? floating point? ...?)
- Type annotations are needed, here!

```
let n = s.parse::<f64>().unwrap();
let n1: i32 = s.parse.unwrap();
```

Safe System Programming

Scalar, Compound, and Custom Types

- Different ways to classify types...
- ...But a distinction between scalar types and compound types is generally recognized
 - Again, various definitions (of "scalar", in this case!)
 - Rust also introduce custom types (structures and enumerations)
- Primitive (predefined) types are generally scalar
- In Rust, 4 classes of scalar types: integers, floating point, boolean, and character
- Debatable thing: the unit type "()"
 - Is it a scalar type (with only one value "()")...
 - Or is it a tuple with 0 elements?

Safe System Programming

Rust Never Type and Unit Type

- Never: type "!" with no possible values
 - What? How is it useful?
 - Return value of functions that never return...
 - Considered compatible with every other type...
- Unit: type "()" with one single value "()"
 - Similar to the "void" type of other languages
 - Used for functions returning no values
- Is it a tupe (compound type) or a scalar type?
 - Official Rust documentation is not clear about this: https://doc.rust-lang.org/rust-by-example/primitives.html

https://doc.rust-lang.org/reference/types/tuple.html

Rust Boolean Type

- Type bool, encoded on 1 byte, with only two values
 - true, false
- Used for boolean predicates (in if, etc...)
- Big difference with C: bool is not compatible with integer types
 - "if (d) res = n / d; else res = 0;" is valid C
 - "if d {res = n / d;} else {res = 0;}"
 is not valid Rust
 - Should be "if d != 0 {res = n / d;} else {res = 0;}"
 - More rusty: "res = if d != 0 {n / d} else {0}"

Safe System Programming

Rust Integer Types

- Rust allows to control both size and encoding
- Can be signed or unsigned
 - Signed: two's complement (difference with C: the encoding is specified) $\in [-(2^{b-1}), 2^{b-1} 1)]$
 - Unsigned: $\in [0, 2^b 1]$
- Represented on 8, 16, 32, 64 or 128 bits
- i8, i16, i32, i64, i128 and u8, u16, u32, u64, u128
 - "isize" and "usize" types: represented on an architecture-dependent number of bits

- No C-like UBs, but behaviour dependent on compilation options
 - Program compiled in debug mode (default) → mathematical operations causing overflows crash (panic())
 - Program compiled in release mode ("rustc −0")
 → mathematical operation causing overflows use modular arithmetic
- Notice: both these behaviours are safe!

Rust Floating Point Types

- Represented on 32 or 64 bits
 - Using the IEEE 754 standard
 - 32 bits is single precision
 - 64 bits is double precision
- f32 **and** f64
- f64 is default ("let f = 3.14" gives an f64 variable)

Rust Characters

- Type char, similar to C characters
 - Same syntax ("c = 'a'")
- Big difference: stored on 4 bytes, encode Unicode Scalar Values
 - Whatever they are...

Compound Types

- Tuples and arrays
 - Both can be seen as product types
 - Tuple: elements can have different types; generally accessed through pattern matching
 - Arrays: uniform (all elements have the same type); can be accessed through an index
- Tuple: list of comma-separated values, inside parentheses
 - Example: "(3.14, "pi")"
 - Also possible to give hints about the types: "let
 - t: (f32, &str) = (3.14, "pi")"

Compound Types — Arrays

- Array: list of comma-separated values, inside square brackets
 - **Example:** "[3.14, 6.28]"
 - Things like "[2, 3.14]" are not OK
- Array of "n" elements initialized to "v": "[v; n]"
- Random access to single elements is possible
 - And array bounds are checked!
- Rust arrays are not vectors (fixed size, cannot grow)
- Rust introduces some complications due to "*slices*"...
 Will see later!

Custom Types

- Built using structures and enumerations
- Based on algebraic data type: product and sum
- Structures: C-like "struct" syntax
 - This is a simplification; tuple-like structures and empty structures also exist
- Enumerations: "enum" keyword, followed by a comma-separated list of variants (inside "{ }")
 - Single-value variants: similar to C-style enums
 - Variants generated by a constructor with parameters... Rust uses structures (mainly tuple-style, but C-style could be used too)
- Method and functions can also be attached to structures and enumerations...

Safe System Programming

Rust Variables

- Variables are defined using the "let" keyword
 - Typically defined and initialized at the same time
 - The compiler can generally infer the type of a variable
- As usual, can be mutable or immutable
 - Rust variables are immutable by default
 - Mutable variables must be explicitly defined as so ("let mut")
 - If a variable is defined as mutable without apparent reasons, the compiler complains!
- Assignments can be performed only on mutable variables

Example

This does not compile

```
fn main() {
   let x = 5;
   println!("The_value_of_x_is:_{}", x);
   x = 6;
   println!("The_value_of_x_is:_{}", x);
}
```

Changing "let x = 5;" into "let mut x = 5;" fixes the issue.

Shadowing

- Shadowing: the same name can be associated to multiple variables
 - The last "active" (in scope) binding is used
 - Something like this is valid:

```
fn main() {
    let x = 5;
    println!("The_value_of_x_is:_{}", x);
    let x = 6;
    println!("The_value_of_x_is:_{}", x);
}
```

 "let x = 6;" is the definition of a new variable, not an assignment

Shadowing

• To better understand shadowing, try this:

```
fn main()
{
    let x = 5;
    println!("The_value_of_x_is:_{}", x);
    {
        let x = 6;
        println!("The_value_of_x_is_now:_{}", x);
    }
    println!("The_value_of_x_is_now:_{}", x);
}
```

• The second "let x" defines a new variable; when it goes out of scope, the first "x" is used