arm

Experimenting with the Android Audio Pipeline and SCHED_DEADLINE

OSPM 2018

Alessio Balsini - Arm, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna With the contribution of: Joel Fernandes and Phil Burk - Google



Software Architecture: Audio Pipeline Simplified





Software Architecture: Audio Pipeline Simplified





Requirements

Power efficient

- Forcing the maximum frequency is not always good (e.g., power consumption, thermal throttling, current pumping), how to find the smallest, but sufficient OPP?

Low-latency

- Best-effort scheduling may result in underruns/overruns: audio glitches
- The buffer must be full, so, the bigger the buffer, the bigger the latency

Reactive to workload changes

- The **workload** of an audio **varies** a lot (e.g., different number of notes), so load increase → quick CPU frequency increase!



Alternative Solutions

	Power Efficiency	Reactiveness to Workload	Latency
SCHED_{RT, DL} max frequency (*)	?		
SCHED_RT, WALT or PELT (**)			
SCHED_DL adaptive BW			

(*) Race to Idle?

(**) With not (yet) mainline solutions, reactiveness and latency can be mitigated, but it's still required to specify from userspace either the utilization or frequency clamping mechanisms.



5

SCHED_DEADLINE with Adaptive Bandwidth

SCHED_DEADLINE is good because:

- Fits periodic tasks
- Tailored for deadline tasks

How to choose the parameters?

- The callback is activated every time a burst is available (period = 1.33 ms)
- 2. A burst must be filled before another is consumed (**deadline** = 1.33 ms)
- 3. The **runtime** is unknown! But we have past execution **statistics** and the **application** can **hint** the system (adaptive loop)





Experimental Setup

- **Device**: fake battery Google Pixel 2
- **Android**: AOSP, version P (master of)
- **Kernel**: MSM walleye 4.4 with latest mainline (and not) DL patches (android.googlesource.com/kernel/msm)
- **Power meter**: ACME Cape RevB
- Workload generator: audio synthesizer emulator, that generates audio workload and stores statistics (github.com/google/synthmark)
- Test automation toolkit: LISA (github.com/ARM-software/lisa)

The experiments are run on big CPUs



Experimental Results: Notes Switching



Experimental Results: Notes Switching



90000

Experimental Results: Linear Increment



workUnit

runtime

runtimeTimer

frequence

لتبلجل النارية ال

80000

90000

70000

runtimeDL

Experimental Results: Random



80000

To Race or not to Race (to Idle)?

1.50

1.75

2.00

So? Device specific!

10

0.25

Idle Power (mW) = 1.2

0.75

1.00

Frequency (GHz) Odroid XU3 board (Samsung Exynos-5422)

1.25

0.50

Needs

Android \Rightarrow App

- App \rightarrow Android: API to notify that the App load is changing (to update of the DL parameters ASAP)
- Android/Kernel \rightarrow App: API to notify the App of the available system capacity

Android ≒ Kernel

API to measure the execution time (a kind of se.sum_exec_runtime), frequency + capacity scaled.
In this project implemented with custom sched_getattr() flag: returns dl_se.runtime.
What about CLOCK_THREAD_CPUTIME_SCALED_ID, accessible with clock_gettime()?

Kernel

- Capacity-aware scheduling: migrate to a big CPU if its requirements do not fit the LITTLE

Other Ideas

Export the available DL bandwidth

- Not blindly ask the admission controller
- Some applications can adapt their workload according to the system availability

The period of the task is not the period of CBS: synchronization callback!

- Maybe with a new flag for sched_setattr()

Multilevel SCHED_DEADLINE

- Split SCHED_DL into soft vs hard deadline tasks, the bandwidth is guaranteed only to hard tasks, best effort for the others (but still deadline based)

Thank you!

arm

Alessio Balsini - Arm, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna alessio.balsini@{arm.com, santannapisa.it} With the contribution of: Joel Fernandes and Phil Burk - Google

arm

The Arm trademarks featured in this presentation are registered trademarks or trademarks of Arm Limited (or its subsidiaries) in the US and/or elsewhere. All rights reserved. All other marks featured may be trademarks of their respective owners.

www.arm.com/company/policies/trademarks