AHM 2010 Sept 15th, Cardiff (UK)



Challenges in Operating System Design for Future Many-Core Systems



Tommaso Cucinotta

Real-Time Systems Laboratory Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna Pisa, Italy





Tommaso Cucinotta – ReTiS Lab – Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna – Pisa – Italy

1/10





General-Purpose Computing (GPC)

- General-Purpose Hardware
 - → Limited parallelism degree (few cores era)
- □ OS provides useful services to applications, e.g.:
 - → Hardware abstraction
 - \rightarrow (GP) Scheduling of resources (e.g., tasks on available CPUs)
 - Automatic separation between interactive and batch applications
 - \rightarrow (GP) Filesystem, I/O and networking
 - $\rightarrow \dots$

□ Applications mostly sequential (with a few exceptions)

- → Application-level programmers
- → OS-level (and kernel-level) programmers

Snapshot



3/10

High-Performance Computing (HPC)

- □ Specialized hardware
 - \rightarrow Vector machines, ...
 - → Massive parallelism degree
- □ OS constitutes a "noise" (or "jitter") to get rid of
 - → Applications often optimized for underlying hardware
- Optimized distributed filesystems
- □ Application-specific distribution and scheduling logic
 - → Assumption of availability of entire system: no need for caring about multiple applications multiplexed on the same system
- □ HPC programmers are experts of
 - → parallel programming techniques

 $\rightarrow \dots$

What's new ?



4/10

Future Many-Core Systems

- □ Potentially suitable for both (high-end) GPC, CC and HPC
- □ Increasing **need** for a **good OS-level support**
 - \rightarrow data distribution and replication
 - > workload distribution, load balancing and scheduling
 - management of complex memory hierarchies and incoherent shared memory segments

□ Nowadays OSes unable to efficiently manage many cores

- \rightarrow Monolithic kernels
- → Global in-kernel data structures (e.g., processes, file-system)
- → Global in-kernel synchronization spin-locks (e.g., Linux bkl)
- → Even fine-grained locking (e.g., object-level lock) inefficient
 - When thousands of cores may potentially compete





5/10

Multikernel (and Barrelfish prototype)

- □ One OS instance per-core
- Any sharing implemented by message-passing between different kernel instances

Partitioning of cores (Corey OS, GenerOS, FOS)

- □ Application cores
- □ Kernel/service cores
- □ For example, a system call becomes a RPC

Application-level control of sharing (Corey OS)

- Help the kernel understand what is likely to be accessed by multiple tasks and what cannot
 - → Non-sharing default policy, sharing needs explicit actions



Time-sensitive applications

- □ Throughput and/or latency constraints
- □ Computation times vary depending on data locality
- □ We don't want to design everything off-line
 - → but we expect to have a **proper run-time OS-level support**
- □ Scheduler needs to be real-time aware
- □ Adaptivity plays a key role

Real-Time Scheduling on Multi-Processors

- □ Many open problems
- □ No known algorithm for efficient use of many CPUs



Tommaso Cucinotta – ReTiS Lab – Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna – Pisa – Italy



Distributed Scheduling Infrastructure

- □ No centralized scheduling decisions
- □ Hierarchical management of resources (and scheduling)
- □ What properties can we guaranteed system-wide ?

Synchronization and IPC Mechanisms

□ More integration with scheduling mechanisms

Application Programming Interface

- □ What info do we need to expose to the scheduler ?
 - → Application-level DFG and dependencies ?
 - → (expected) Communication paradigms/patterns ?
 - → Timing constraints and (expected) latencies ?

□ What info can be **automatically inferred** by the kernel ?

→ e.g., by (kernel-level) monitoring Tommaso Cucinotta – ReTiS Lab – Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna – Pisa – Italy

More Scheduling Challenges



Heterogeneous Hardware

- □ Different CPUs have different performance
- □ How to properly take scheduling decisions ?
- □ What goals to target ?
 - → maximize system throughput ?
 - → minimize maximum latency ?
 - → minimize energy consumption while keeping timing constraints ?
 → … ?
- □ Adaptiveness: when to migrate tasks and how ?
 - \rightarrow How to deal with the NUMA effect ?



Thanks!

Tommaso Cucinotta – ReTiS Lab – Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna – Pisa – Italy 10/10