Using UML

- Goal: Be able to “reason about” a design
  - i.e., understand designer’s intent
  - Critique/improve the design
- Claim: Source code not best medium for communication and comprehension
  - Lots of redundancy and detail irrelevant for some program-understanding tasks
  - Especially poor at depicting relationships among classes in OO programs
  - To understand an OO design, one must be able to visualize these relationships
- Solution: Use abstract, visual representations - UML
UML diagrams

- Collection of notations representing software designs from three points of view:
  - *Class model* describes the static structure of objects and relationships in a system
  - *State model* describes the dynamics aspects of objects and the nature of control in a system
  - *Interaction model* describes how objects in a system cooperate to achieve broader results
- Generally, we need all three models to describe a system
- No single model says everything
- Here we focus on class model

UML Class diagram notation

- Boxes denote classes
- Each box comprises:
  - Class name
  - List of data attributes
  - List of operations
- More compact than code and more amenable to depicting relationship among classes

```
Employee
firstName: string
lastName: string
hireDate: Date
department: short
print(os: ostream&): void

City
name: string
population: unsigned
```
Abstraction in class diagrams

- Class diagrams often elide details
  - Method associated with an operation
  - Attribute and operations may be hidden in diagrams to improve readability
    - even if they exist in C++ code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ClassName</th>
<th>Employee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>attr1: type1 = def1</td>
<td>firstName: string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attr2: type2 = def2</td>
<td>lastName: string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opName1(arg1: argtype1): restype1</td>
<td>hireDate: Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opName2(arg2: argtype2): restype2</td>
<td>department: short</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inheritance

- DerivedClass is derived from BaseClass
- BaseClass class has a virtual method (in italic)
- DerivedClass reimplemented the virtual method
Notes:
- The UML symbol for an object is a box with an object name followed by a colon and the class name. The object name and class name are both underlined.
- Attribute values and the object name are optional.
- Only list attributes that have intrinsic meaning. Attributes of computer artifacts (such as pointers) should not be listed.

Example

```java
Employee doe("John",
    "Doe", ...);
Employee* doe =
    new Employee("John",
        "Doe", ...);

eList.addEmpl(
    new Employee("Mary",
        "Smith", ...)
);
```
A More formal distinction

- **Value:** Primitive “piece of data”
  - E.g., the number 17, the string “Canada”
  - Unlike objects, values lack identity
- **Object:** Meaningful concept or “thing” in an application domain
  - Often appears as a proper noun or specific reference in discussions with users.
  - May be attributed with values
  - Has identity
- Two objects containing the “same values” are not the same object!
  - They are distinct objects
  - They may be considered “equivalent” under a certain definition of “equality”

What’s the big deal about identity?

- Useful in reasoning about “goodness” of a design
  - Many poor designs result from an “encoding” of one object within another, using attribute values
  - By reasoning about identity, one may identify such a design flaw early
  - Best illustrated by example
- Also allows us to model relationships among objects and classes more explicitly
Exercise: Travel-planning system

- A city has a name, a certain population, and a specific time zone
- A city has one or more airports
- An airport has a name and a unique code
- How many classes should you design?

Is this design correct?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>city_name:    string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>population:   unsigned int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time_zone:    zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>airport_name: string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>airport_code: code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- These attributes are “hiding” an object (the airport) that is meaningful by itself in this domain
- Why it might be bad to encode one object as a collection of attribute values within another?
Design tip

- Answer:
  - Potential for redundancy/inconsistency due to duplication
    - some airports serve multiple cities
    - some cities served by no airports
    - some cities served by multiple airports
  - Operations over Airport objects may not need to know details associated with cities, such as population
- When designing a class:
  - Apply the identity test to each attribute (including attributes in combination)
  - Never use an attribute to model an “object identifier”
- UML notation helps enforce this discipline
- So then how do we model connections between objects, such as Cities and Airports?

Relationships among objects

- **Link**: Physical or conceptual connection between objects
  - Much more abstract than pointers/references
  - Most (not all) links relate exactly two objects
- **Association**: Description of a group of links with common structure and semantics
  - A link is an instance of an association:
    - Links connect objects of same classes
    - Have similar properties (link attributes)
    - Association describes set of potential links just like a class describes a set of potential objects
Examples of links

Houston: City
- cityName: "Houston, TX"
- population: 3000000

HOU: Airport
- airportCode: HOU
- airportName: "Hobby"
- timeZone: Central

IAH: Airport
- airportCode: IAH
- airportName: "Intercontinental"
- timeZone: Central

serves

From links to association

City
- cityName: string
- population: unsigned

Airport
- airportCode: code
- airportName: string
- timeZone: zone

1..* serves *
Bidirectionality

- Links may be navigated in either direction!

Benefits:
- During early design, it is often difficult to predict the navigation directions that will be needed
- Especially true for many-to-many associations
- Better to model connections as bidirectional associations and later refine these associations into more implementation-level structures (e.g., pointers, vectors of pointers maps etc)
- Often several ways to implement an association and the details are not salient to the “essence” of the design

Implementation of “serves” association

```cpp
class City {
    ... 
    protected:
        string cityName;
        unsigned population;
        vector<Airport*> serves;
    };

class Airport {
    ... 
    protected:
        string airportName;
        CODE airportCode;
        ZONE timeZone;
        vector<City*> serves;
    };

    class City {
        ...
        protected:
            string cityName;
            unsigned population;
        };

    class Airport {
        ...
        protected:
            string airportName;
            CODE airportCode;
            ZONE timeZone;
        };

    multimap<City*, Airport*> cityServes;
    multimap<Airport*, City*> airportServes;
```
You should get comfortable with the various methods for refining a UML association
- be able to easily switch back and forth between what is said in the diagram and what is allowable in the code
- start to “think” using links/associations rather than pointers and references
- This is good training in abstraction

Template notation

```
template<class T>
class MyClass {
    T var;
    int number;
public:
    ...
    T operator[](int index);
};
```