Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

Operating Systems
Overview of HW architectures

Giuseppe Lipari

Basic blocks



The processor



- Set of registers
  - IP: instruction pointer
  - SP: stack pointer
  - A0-A3: general registers
  - CR: control register
- Execution units
  - Arithmetic unit
  - Fetching unit
  - Branch prediction unit
  - ...
- Other components
  - Pipeline
  - Cache

Processor registers

- User visible registers
  - Used as temporary buffers for processor operations
  - Can be in any number
    - RISC architectures: array of registers
    - CISC architectures: set of registers dedicated to specific operations
- Control and Status registers
  - IP Instruction pointer
  - SP Stack Pointer
  - CR Control Register (or PSW Program Status Word)



Modes of operation

- Many processors have at least two modes of operation
  - Supervisor mode
    - All instructions are allowed
    - Kernel routines execute in supervisor mode because the OS must access all features of the system
  - User mode
    - Not all instructions are allowed
    - User programs execute in user mode
    - Some instruction (for example, disabling interrupts) cannot be invoked directly by user programs
- Switching
  - It is possible to switch from user mode to supervisor mode with special instructions



Main Memory and bus

- The RAM
  - Sequence of data locations
  - Contains both instructions (TEXT) and data variables
- The bus
  - A set of “wires”
    - Address wires
    - Data wires
  - The number of data wires is the amount of bits that can be read with one memory access
    - Current PC buses: 32 bits, 64 bits

Instruction execution

- We distinguish at least two phases
  - Fetching: the instruction is read from memory
  - Execute: the instruction is executed
    - ✓ **Data processing instr.** – the result is stored in registers
    - ✓ **Load instr.** – the data is loaded from main memory
    - ✓ **Store** – the data is stored in main memory
    - ✓ **Control** – the flow of execution may change (change IP)
  - Some instruction may be the combination of different types



Stack Frames

- The stack is used to
  - Save local variables
  - Implement function calling
- Every time a function is called
  - The parameters are saved on the stack
  - Call <address>: The current IP is saved on the stack
  - The routine saves the registers that will be modified on the stack
  - The local variables are defined on the stack
  - When the function is over the stack is cleaned and the RET instruction is called which restores IP



External devices

- I/O devices
  - Set of data registers
  - Set of control registers
  - mapped on certain memory locations





I/O operations

- Structure of an I/O operation
  - Phase 1: prepare the device for the operation
    - In case of output, data is transferred to the data buffer registers
    - The operation parameters are set with the control registers
    - The operation is triggered
  - Phase 2: wait for the operation to be performed
    - Devices are much slower than the processor
    - It may take a while to get/put the data on the device
  - Phase 3: complete the operation
    - Error checking
    - Clean up the control registers

Example of input operation

- Phase 1: nothing
- Phase 2: wait until bit 0 of CR0 becomes 1
- Phase 3: read data from D0 and reset bit 0 of CR0



Example of output operation

- Phase 1: write data to D1 and set bit 0 of CR1
- Phase 2: wait for bit 1 of CR1 to become 1
- Phase 3: clean CR1





Temporal diagram

- Polling
  - This technique is called “polling” because the processor “polls” the device until the operation is completed
  - In general, it can be a waste of time
  - The processor could execute something useful while the device is working
    - but, how can the processor know when the device has completed the I/O operation?

Interrupts

- Every processor supports an interrupt mechanism
  - The processor has a special pin, called “interrupt request (IRQ)”
  - Upon reception of a signal on the IRQ pin,
    - If interrupts are enabled, the processor suspends execution and invokes an “interrupt handler” routine
    - If interrupts are disabled, the request is pending and will be served as soon as the interrupts are enabled



Interrupt handling

- Every interrupt is associated one “handler”
- When the interrupt arrives
  - The processor suspend what is doing
  - Pushes CR on the stack
  - Calls the handler (pushes the IP on the stack)
  - The handler saves the registers that will be modified on the stack
  - Executes the interrupt handling code
  - Restores the registers
  - Executes IRET (restores IP and CR)



Input with interrupts

- Phase 1: do nothing
- Phase 2: execute other code
- Phase 3: upon reception of the interrupt, read data from D0, clean CR0 and return to the interrupted code



Interrupts

- Let's compare polling and interrupt





The meaning of phase 3

- Phase 3 is used to signal the device that the interrupt has been served
  - It is an handshake protocol
    - The device signals the interrupt
    - The processor serves the interrupt and exchanges the data
    - The processor signals the device that it has finished serving the interrupt
    - Now a new interrupt from the same device can be raised

Interrupt disabling

- Two special instructions
  - STI: enables interrupts
  - CLI: disables interrupts
  - These instructions are privileged
    - Can be executed only in supervisor mode
  - When an interrupt arrives the processor goes automatically in supervisor mode



Many sources of interrupts

- Usually, processor has one single IRQ pin
  - However, there are several different I/O devices
  - Intel processors use an external Interrupt Controller
    - 8 IRQ input lines, one output line





Nesting interrupts

- Interrupt disabling
  - With CLI, all interrupts are disabled
- When an interrupt is raised,
  - before calling the interrupt handler, interrupts are automatically disabled
  - However, it is possible to explicitely call STI to re-enable interrupts even during an interrupt handler
  - In this way, we can “nest interrupts”
    - One interrupt handler can itself be interrupted by another interrupt



Interrupt controller

- Interrupts have priority
  - IRQ0 has the highest priority, IRQ7 the lowest
- When an interrupt from a I/O device is raised
  - If there are other interrupts pending
    - If it is the highest priority interrupt, it is forwarded to the processor (raising the IRQ line)
    - Otherwise, it remains pending, and it will be served when the processor finishes serving the current interrupt



Nesting interrupts

- Why nesting interrupts?
  - If interrupts are not nested, important services many be delayed too much
    - For example, IRQ0 is the timer interrupt
    - The timer interrupt is used to set the time reference of the system
    - If the timer interrupt is delayed too much, it can get lost (i.e. another interrupt from the timer could arrive before the previous one is served)
    - Losing a timer interrupt can cause losing the correct time reference in the OS
    - Therefore, the timer interrupt has the highest priority and can interrupt everything, even another “slower” interrupt

Nested interrupts





Atomicity

- An hardware instruction is atomic if it cannot be “interleaved” with other instructions
  - Atomic operations are always sequentialized
  - Atomic operations cannot be interrupted
    - They are safe operations
    - For example, transferring one word from memory to register or viceversa
  - Non atomic operations can be interrupted
    - They are not “safe” operations
    - Non elementary operations are not atomic



Non atomic operations

- Consider a “simple” operation like

```
x = x+1;
```

- In assembler

```
LD  R0, x
INC R0
ST  x,RO
```

- A simple operation like incrementing a memory variable may consist of three machine instructions
- If the same operation is done inside an interrupt handler, an inconsistency can arise!

Interrupt on non-atomic operations

Normal code

```
int x=0;

...
x = x + 1;
...
```

Handler code

```
void handler(void)
{
    ...
    x = x + 1;
    ....
}
```

```
...
LD    R0, x
INC   R0
ST    x, RO
...
```

```
Save registers
...
LD    R0, x
INC   R0
ST    x, RO
...
Restore registers
```



Solving the problem in single processor

- One possibility is to disable interrupts in “critical sections”





Multi-processor systems

- Symmetric multi-processors (SMP)
  - Identical processors
  - One shared memory





Multi-processor systems

- Two typical organisations
  - Master / Slave
    - The OS runs on one processor only (master), CPU0
    - When a process requires a OS service, sends a message to CPU0
  - Symmetric
    - One copy of the OS runs indipendentely on each processor
    - They must synchronise on common data structures
    - We will analyse this configuration later in the course

Low level synchronisation in SMP

- The atomicity problem cannot be solved by disabling the interrupts!
  - If we disable the interrupts, we protect the code from interrupts.
  - It is not easy to protect from other processors





Low level synchronisation in SMP

- Most processors support some special instruction
  - **XCH** Exchange register with memory location
  - **TST** If memory location = 0, set location to 1 and return true (1), else return false (0)

Pseudo-code for TST and XCH

```
void  xch(register R, memory x)
{
      int tmp;
      tmp = R; R = x; x=tmp;
}
```

```
int  tst(int x)
{
      if (x == 1) return 0;
      else {
            x=1;
            return 1;
      }
}
```

XCH and **TST** are atomic!



How they work

- XCH and TST
  - the processor that executes the instruction locks the bus and performs two operations (read and write) without interference from other processors
  - needs a bus arbiter



Locking in multi-processors

- We define one variable s
  - If s == 0, then we can perform the critical operation
  - If s == 1, the must wait before performing the critical operation
- Using XCH or TST we can implement two functions:
  - lock() and unlock()

Locking with XCH

```
void lock(int s)
{
      int a = 1;
      while (a==1) XCH (s,a);
}
```

```
void unlock(int s)
{
      s = 0;
}
```

```
; ----------------------------
;          LOCK(S)
; ----------------------------
           LD R0,1
LABEL :    XCH R0, s
           CMP R0, 1
           JE  LABEL
           ...
```

```
; ----------------------------
;          UNLOCK(S)
; ----------------------------
           LD R0, 0
           LD s, R0
           ...
```

- Since there is an active waiting, this technique is called *spinlock*

Locking with TST

```
void lock(int x)
{
     while (TST (s) == 0);
}
```

```
void unlock(int s)
{
     s = 0;
}
```

```
; ------------------------------
;            LOCK
;------------------------------
LABEL:      TST s
            JZ LABEL
            ....
```

```
; ------------------------------
;            UNLOCK(S)
; ------------------------------
            LD R0, 0
            LD s, R0
            ...
```

- Again an active waiting, this is a different implementation of the *spinlock*

Locking in multi-processors





Locking

- The lock / unlock operations are “safe”
  - No matter how you interleave the operations, there is no possibility that the “critical parts” interleave
  - However, spinlock is an active wait and a possible wast of time
- The problem of locking is very general and will be analysed and solved in greater details later



Spinlock

- Problem 1
  - If CPU1 waits for CPU0 with a spinlock, it cannot execute other activities
  - this is a waste of processor time
- Problem 2
  - When CPU1 waits for CPU0 with a spinlock, it accesses memory continuosly
  - It occupies the system shared bus
  - It slows down the other processors! (It reduces considerably the bus bandwidth)

More sophisticated techniques

- Using cache coherency
  - if every processor has a local cache, a *cache* coherency algorithm ensures that the cache content is synchronized with the global memory