

NanoKernel and Hypervisors

Advanced Operating Systems

Luca Abeni

luca.abeni@santannapisa.it

Traditional OS Protection

- Traditional view
 - CPU: (at least) 2 privilege levels → distinction between user programs and kernel
 - User programs: low privilege
 - Kernel: high privilege mode, must be trusted
- So, we can see *2 protection domains*
 - Protection domain \neq address space
 - User code and kernel can run in the same address space, but page access rights might be different
 - This was a good idea until Meltdown / Spectre!!!

Address Spaces and Protection Domains

- Address space: characterized by the mapping between **virtual** addresses and **physical addresses**
 - Page table
 - In general, space → mapping between virtual resources and physical ones
- Protection domain: characterized by the policies in allowing access to resources
 - If a (virtual) memory page is mapped in physical memory, can it be accessed?
- Traditionally, in supervisor mode everything can be accessed

Multiple Protection Domains

- Why using only 2 protection domains?
 - Because this model maps naturally to the “least common denominator” provided by different hw architectures
- If we extend this concept (allowing multiple protection domains), we can have a more flexible architecture
 - We can split different OS components in different domains...
 - ...Or we can have different OSs / OS kernels running in different domains!
- How to switch between protection domains?

Spaces, Domains and... Portals!

- Portal: abstraction used to switch between different domains
 - In traditional OSs, syscall and interrupts used to securely change privilege level
 - With multiple protection domains, the concept must be extended!
- Associated to interrupt / exception / trap / page fault
 - Specifies the domain handling it → used to move execution between domains
- Lower-level abstractions respect to “traditional” OSs and Kernels

SPACE “NanoKernel”

- Provides only 3 abstractions
 - Space: translation of virtual addresses/resources into physical ones (and/or portals)
 - Domain: access policy (determine how to map the space addresses: in physical addresses or portals?)
 - Portal: mechanism to move between domains
- The nanokernel provides `portal_entry` and `resume_pcb`
- Everything else can implemented by code running in different domains!!!

Adeos and SPACE

- Adeos implements some of the notions from the SPACE kernel
 - With focus on interrupt management
 - Many protection mechanisms (example: memory protection) are not considered, for efficiency / simplicity
- Different domains, for different kernels
 - At least Linux and some real-time executive (RTAI, Xenomai nucleus, ...)
 - More complex setups are possible
- Interrupt portals to build the interrupt pipeline

Adeos as Support for Application-Specific Kernels

- The original SPACE desing provided support for application-specific OSs
 - So that resource allocation can be optimized for specific applications!
- Possible by executing different OSs in different protection domains
 - SPACE provides protection and security
- Adeos focuses on optimizing an OS kernel for real-time
 - Again, protection and security are not considered...

Application-Level Resource Management

- The “exokernel” idea proposed something similar
- Resource management moved from the OS kernel to user applications
 - Small *exokernel* allowing to do this in a secure way
 - Most of the OS kernel linked to user applications as a “library Operating System”
- Adeos focuses on a similar idea: the real-time executive / real-time applications are in charge of managing their resources
 - Management delegated to the Linux kernel for non real-time resources
 - Again, no focus on protection / security

Adeos as a Hypervisor - 1

- Adeos calls itself a “nanokernel”
 - Following naming from some scientific papers
 - Tries not to be a “Hardware Abstraction Layer” (HAL)
- SPACE idea: multiple OSs in multiple protection domains
 - Two domains: one for Linux and one for the real-time executive
- Relationship with hypervisors?
 - Similar idea
 - (Protection) Domain \leftrightarrow VM
 - Ah... This is where the Xen domains come from!!!

Adeos as a Hypervisor - 2

- Can Adeos be seen as a hypervisor?
 - Two OS kernels in two domains...
- Hypervisor for para-virtualized kernels
 - A kernel must be modified to run on Adeos
 - Patched Linux kernel, Xenomai, RTAI, ...
- Hypervisor without complete control of the system resources (performance trade-off?)
 - Linux and the RT kernel can crash the system...
 - ...Including other para-virtualized kernels!
- Hosted hypervisor
 - Does not boot on baremetal, but uses functionalities from the Linux kernel

Xtratum

- Another “hypervisor”, very similar to Adeos
 - Again, started as an RTLinux replacement!
- Can run (paravirtualized) Linux and some paravirtualized RTOSs
- Big difference: Xtratum is a bare-metal hypervisor
 - Does not rely on Linux (or other kernels’) functionalities
 - Loaded by a bootloader, can start Linux and other kernel after booting Xtratum