

# *The Functional Programming Paradigm*

Luca Abeni

`luca.abeni@santannapisa.it`

# Programming Paradigms

- Programs can be developed using **many** different paradigms
  - Imperative: computation as state modification
  - Functional: computation as reduction (???)
  - ...
- Imperative paradigm
  - Mutable variables: **environment** associating names to variables, **store** associating variables to values
  - Assignments are the core of programs
    - Modify the store ( $f: \text{variable} \rightarrow \text{value}$ )
    - Each variable “contains” an R-value
  - Directly maps to Von Neumann machines

# Functional Programming Paradigm

- Functional Programming → no state / mutable variables
  - No mutable variables ⇒ no assignments!
    - Environment without store
  - Programs composed by expressions and functions (no commands)
  - Computation as **reduction / substitution of expressions**
    - Instead of state mutation...
- Reduction??? WTH is this???
  - Replacing the invocation of a function with the returned value...

# Functional Programming Technique 1: Recursion

- No mutable state  $\rightarrow$  no iteration (loop)!
  - Iteration is based on repeating something while a predicate is true
  - Predicate: boolean function of the state...  
Immutable state  $\Rightarrow$  the predicate is always true or always false  $\Rightarrow$  infinite loop, or no iteration!
- Use **Recursion** instead of iteration!
  - Mathematical model:  $\lambda$ -calculus!

# Mathematical Functions

- Function: relation between domain and codomain, associating at most an element of the codomain to each element of the domain
  - $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$
  - $f \subset \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} : (x, y_1) \in f \wedge (x, y_2) \in f \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2$
  - $(x, y) \in f \rightarrow y = f(x)$
- $f(x)$  is... Ambiguous?
  - $f(x) = x^2$ : definition of  $f()$
  - $f(3)$ : application of  $f()$  to 3
  - The same syntax ( $f(x)$ ) is used for **definition** and **application** of a function?

# Programming with Functions

- In math, the meaning of “ $f(x)$ ” depends on the context...
  - Example: “ $f(x) = x^2$ ” vs “ $f(3)$ ”
- ...A programming language needs a more univoque syntax!
  - We need a different syntax for application and definition
- Some examples:
  - C/C++: “ $\{ \dots \}$ ” after the function’s prototype is used for definitions
  - In ML,  $\text{fn}$  is used to define a function
  - ...

# Function Definitions vs Expressions

- Special syntax to *define* functions
  - In C, “`double f(double x) {return x * x;}`” defines  $f(x) = x^2$
  - But... This is not an expression!!!
- Strange idea: use expressions to define functions...  
Something like “`f1 = {return x * x;}`”???
- Not possible in C... Functions are not expressible or storable values...
- ...Maybe, we can store/express *function pointers* but not functions!
- In C++, “`auto f1=[](double x){return x*x;};`”
- Notice: these are **real functions**, not function pointers!

# Anonymous Functions

- “`auto f1 = [] (double x) {return x * x;};`” defines “`f1`” (a variable) and binds it to a function
  - Function as a *storable* value (can be assigned to a variable)
  - Function as an *expressible* value (can be the result of an expression)
- “[...] (...) {...}” defines a **function without a name!!!**
- This expression (named “lambda” in C++) evaluates to an *anonymous function*
  - Can be assigned to a variable, passed as an argument to a function, ...
- The type of a lambda expression in C++ is “`std::function<...>`”



# Execution as Evaluation

- Functional program: composition of pure functions
  - Recursion is used instead of iteration
- “Executed” by evaluating the expressions obtained from the functions
- Usual example: factorial!

```
unsigned int fact(unsigned int n)
{
    return n == 0 ? 1 : n * fact(n - 1);
}
```

- Note the “arithmetic if” ( $p ? a : b$ )
- $fact(4) = ?$

# Example of Evaluation

`fact (4)` = ... “`n == 0 ? 1 : n * fact (n - 1)`”,  
replacing “`n`” with “`4`”

- `(n == 0 ? 1 : n * fact (n - 1)) (4)`
- So, 2 different replacements: replace “`fact`” with its definition, and then replace “`n`” with “`4`”

```
fact (4) = (4 == 0) ? 1 : 4 * fact (3) =  
4 * fact (3) =  
4 * ((3 == 0) ? 1 : 3 * fact (2)) =  
4 * 3 * fact (2) =  
4 * 3 * ((2 == 0) ? 1 : 2 * fact (1)) =  
4 * 3 * 2 * fact (1) =  
4 * 3 * 2 * ((1 == 0) ? 1 : 1 * fact (0)) =  
4 * 3 * 2 * 1 * 1 = 24
```

# Evaluation, or... Reduction

- In the FP jargon the term “reduction” is often used instead of “evaluation”
  - A program is **reduced** by text replacement of subexpressions
- Substituting function invocations with the function body, and then with the returned values
  - Substitute the formal parameter with the actual parameter...
  - For example, if `double f(double x) {return x * x; }`, we want “`f(3)`” to be replaced by “`3 * 3`” and then “`9`”
- Let’s look at some more details about how reduction works...

# Reduction?

- Function application:
  - Replacement of the function name with the function body
  - Replacement of formal parameters with actual parameters
- Often called parameters passing **by name**
- Example: in “ $f(3)$ ”, “ $f$ ” is first replaced by “ $x * x$ ” and then “ $x$ ” is replaced by “ $3$ ” obtaining “ $3 * 3$ ”, which evaluates to “ $9$ ”
  - $f(3) \rightarrow (x * x)(3) \rightarrow 3 * 3 \rightarrow 9$
- It is all strings manipulation!
  - No variables, no execution, no stack...

# Example of Reduction

```
unsigned int fac(unsigned int n)
{
    return n == 0 ? 1 : n * fac(n - 1);
}
```

- `fac(4)` is replaced by  
“`n == 0 ? 1 : n * fac(n - 1)`” applied to “4”...
  - Replacement due to the definition of “`fac()`”
- Then, “`n`” is replaced by 4
  - Replacement due to parameters passing
- “`4 == 0 ? 1 : 4 * fac(4 - 1)`” evaluates to  
“`4 * fac(3)`”
  - Replacement due to mathematical evaluation!
- Now, restart from the beginning with “`fac(3)`”...

# Diverging Computations

- It is possible to create endless sequences of replacements
  - `int f(int x) {return f(x);}`
  - This is equivalent to an endless loop (“`while(1);`”): **diverging computation**
- In other words, an infinite recursion is a diverging computation
  - Will the stack overflow? Not if we use tail calls (and corresponding optimizations)
- Looks strange, but is needed for Turing completeness!!!

# Functional Programming Concepts

- Repeat with me: **no commands** (no side effects), only use **expressions** (pure functions)
  - Expressions are composed by values (non-reducible) and primitive operators
- How are expression built? (what's the syntax for writing expressions?)
- Two basic concepts: **abstraction** and **application**
  - In few words, “abstraction” is function definition...
  - ...While “application” is function application
- Text replacements are performed based on abstractions and applications
  - Text replacements due to mathematical evaluation can be seen as a form of “application”

# Abstractions

- Abstraction: given an expression “ $e$ ” and an identifier “ $x$ ”, builds an expression returning a function that has “ $e$ ” as body and “ $x$ ” as formal parameter
  - The expression “ $e$ ” can then use the variable “ $x$ ”
- In FP jargon, we are *abstracting*  $e$  from the specific value of  $x$
- Example of abstraction: `[] (auto x) e`
  - Anonymous function mapping  $x$  into  $e$ !!!



# Applications

- Application: given a function  $f$  and an expression  $e$ , builds the expression  $f(e)$ 
  - Applies  $f$  to  $e$ , evaluating the value of  $f()$  given the value of  $e$
  - This is the inverse of abstraction!

# Reduction Revisited

- The reduction of an expression happens using 2 fundamental mechanisms:
  1. Search in the environment (replacing identifiers with the corresponding values)
  2. Function application (replacing formal parameters with actual parameters)
- Replacing “`fact (4)`” with the function body is based on a search in the environment (search the environment for the value corresponding to symbol “`fact`”)
- Replacing “`n == 0 ? 1 : n * fact (n - 1)`” with “`4 == 0 ? 1 : 4 * fact (4 - 1)`” is based on function application

# Summing Up: Functional Languages Features

- Functions are expressible values
  - Functions (code) and data are handled in the same way
- Functions can receive functions as arguments
- Functions can generate functions as results
  - Looks simple, but...
  - What's the environment of the returned function?  
We need **closures!**
- People often talk about *high-order functions*...

# Putting all Together

- A functional program is a set of definitions and expressions
  - Can modify the environment (creating bindings)
  - Can require the evaluation of complex functions
- Executed by text replacement (reduction)
- Continuously simplify expressions using 2 operations:
  - Search (bindings in the environment) and replace
  - Applications of functions to arguments (replacing formal parameters with actual parameters)

# Some Questions...

- This “search and replace” (and apply) idea looks simple
  - But the devil is in the details!
- When should the reduction process stop?
  - What is an “irreducible expression” (or, value)?
- If more than 1 replacement can be performed in the same expression, which one is performed first?
  - What is the “precedence rule” for replacements/reductions?